

TWO CHARACTER FORMULAS FOR $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ SPACES OF COINVARIANTS

B. FEIGIN, M. JIMBO, S. LOKTEV AND T. MIWA

ABSTRACT. We consider $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ spaces of coinvariants with respect to two kinds of ideals of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$. The first one is generated by $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes t^N$, and the second one is generated by $e \otimes P(t), f \otimes \overline{P}(t)$ where $P(t), \overline{P}(t)$ are fixed generic polynomials. (We also treat a generalization of the latter.) Using a method developed in our previous paper, we give new fermionic formulas for their Hilbert polynomials in terms of the level-restricted Kostka polynomials and q -multinomial symbols. As a byproduct, we obtain a fermionic formula for the fusion product of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -modules with rectangular highest weights, generalizing a known result for symmetric (or anti-symmetric) tensors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spaces of conformal coinvariants are the central objects in conformal field theory. In addition to their many intriguing features, they present also some combinatorial problems which are worth being pursued in their own right [FL]. A systematic study of this aspect has been launched in a series of papers [FKLMM1]–[FKLMM3].

To be specific, the spaces of coinvariants considered in these works are the quotient spaces $L_l^{(k)} / \mathfrak{a} L_l^{(k)}$, where $L_l^{(k)}$ is a level k integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ -module and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ in one of the following forms:

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{a}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes Q(t)\mathbb{C}[t],$$

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{a}^{(p, \bar{p})} = \mathbb{C}e \otimes P(t)\mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}h \otimes P(t)\overline{P}(t)\mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}f \otimes \overline{P}(t)\mathbb{C}[t].$$

Here e, h, f are the standard generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and $Q(t) = \prod_{i=1}^N (t - \zeta_i)$, $P(t) = \prod_{j=1}^p (t - \zeta_j)$, $\overline{P}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\bar{p}} (t - \zeta_{j+p})$ are polynomials. (We use the convention that $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ annihilates the highest weight vector. See subsection 2.1.) These spaces of coinvariants are finite dimensional vector spaces equipped with a natural filtration by the degree in t . One of the basic quantities of interest is the Hilbert polynomial, or *character*, of their associated graded spaces. Often the characters are written in certain specific form called *fermionic formulas*. Their actual form depends on the method used to obtain them, and the same quantity can have different expressions. Indeed, in [FJKLM2], we found a fermionic formula for the case (1.2) with $\bar{p} = 0$ which is different from the one obtained earlier in [FKLMM3]. The

Date: February 1, 2008.

purpose of this paper is to present analogous formulas in the cases (1.1), (1.2) and their generalizations.

The formula mentioned above has its origin in the dimension formula in terms of the Verlinde algebra $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$. Recall that $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$ is an associative unital ring over $\mathbb{Z}$, with basis $[l]$ ($0 \leq l \leq k$) and multiplication rule

$$(1.3) \quad [l] \cdot [l'] = \sum_{\substack{|l-l'| \leq l'' \leq \min(l+l', 2k-l-l') \\ l'' \equiv |l-l'| \pmod{2}}} [l''].$$

For an element $a = \sum_{l=0}^k a_l [l] \in \mathcal{V}^{(k)}$ we write the coefficient a_l as $(a : [l])_k$. The general dimension formula [FJKLM1] applied to (1.2) with $\bar{p} = 0$ tells that, when ζ_i are distinct, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim L_i^{(k)} / \mathfrak{a}^{(p,0)} L_i^{(k)} &= (([0] + [1] + \cdots + [k])^p : [l])_k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m_0, \dots, m_k \geq 0 \\ m_0 + \dots + m_k = p}} \binom{p}{m_0, \dots, m_k} ([0]^{m_0} [1]^{m_1} \cdots [k]^{m_k} : [l])_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is simply a result of expansion in the first. The fermionic formula obtained in [FJKLM2] is a q -analog of the right hand side, in which the multinomial coefficient is replaced by the q -multinomial coefficient, and $([0]^{m_0} [1]^{m_1} \cdots [k]^{m_k} : [l])_k$ by its q -analog called the restricted Kostka polynomial. In fact, [FJKLM2] deals with a slightly more general situation, wherein $([0] + \cdots + [k])^p$ is replaced by $\prod_{j=1}^p ([0] + \cdots + [k_j])$ for arbitrary $k_1, \dots, k_p \in \{0, \dots, k\}$. The corresponding coinvariants arise by replacing Lie subalgebras by appropriate right ideals of the enveloping algebra [FJKLM1]. In this paper we also treat such a generalization for (1.2). It is proved in [FKLMM1], [FKLMM2] that the characters of the coinvariants for (1.1), (1.2) do not depend on the ζ_i . In view of this result, we will restrict to the case $Q(t) = t^N$ for (1.1). As for the generalization of the case (1.2) mentioned above, the independence of the character has not yet been established. For that reason, we assume for (1.2) that $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{p+\bar{p}}$ are pairwise distinct.

In order to obtain the fermionic formula, we follow the method used in [FJKLM2]. It is rather indirect and consists of several steps. First we apply the general equivalence theorem in [FJKLM1] and reduce the problem to that of a fusion product of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules and their quotient spaces. Each constituent of the fusion product is a reducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module whose cyclic vector is given as a sum. Technically it is difficult to handle such fusion products directly. To circumvent this point, we embed $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ into some larger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and show that the fusion product in question is the same as that of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with lowest vector as cyclic vector. For (1.2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, and for (1.1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_5$. The final step is a reduction to the fusion product of modules over an abelian Lie algebra.

Though the applicability of this method is limited, the resulting formulas seem to have a universal nature. It is left as an interesting open problem to establish such formulas in full generality.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we formulate the problem and state the main results. In section 3 we give a proof for the case of the coinvariants with respect to (1.1). The proof in the other case (1.2) goes quite parallel, and is briefly described in section 4. We also obtain a fermionic formula for the fusion product of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -modules involving both symmetric and anti-symmetric tensors (Theorem 4.5).

2. STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

2.1. Notation. First we set up the notation. We use the symbol $e_{ab} = (\delta_{ai}\delta_{jb})$ for matrix units, whose size should be clear from the context. Let e, f, h be the standard generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let $L_l^{(k)}$ be the integrable module over the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$, with level k and highest weight l ($l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq l \leq k$). We use the following convention: the canonical central extension is given by

$$[x_m, y_n] = [x, y]_{m+n} - m\delta_{m+n,0}(x|y)K \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}_2),$$

where $x_m = x \otimes t^m$, $(x|y) = \text{tr}(xy)$, and K acts as k times the identity. The highest weight vector $v_l^{(k)} \in L_l^{(k)}$ satisfies $x_i v_l^{(k)} = 0$ ($i < 0$, $x = e, f, h$), $h_0 v_l^{(k)} = l v_l^{(k)}$ and $e_1^{k-l+1} v_l^{(k)} = 0$. In the terminology of [FJKLM2], $L_l^{(k)} = L_l^{(k)}(\infty)$ is ‘placed at infinity’. In general, for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we write $\mathfrak{g}[t] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$ be a graded vector space indexed by $I = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m+1} + \beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$. Let D_i ($0 \leq i \leq m$) be the degree operator which acts on V_α as α_i times the identity. We call

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{z_0, \dots, z_m} V &= \text{tr}_V \left(z_0^{D_0} \cdots z_m^{D_m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} z^\alpha \dim V_\alpha \quad (z^\alpha = z_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots z_m^{\alpha_m}) \end{aligned}$$

its character. We use the inequality sign of formal series $\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ to mean that $f_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha}$ for all α . We regard $L_l^{(k)}$ as a graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -module by the degree operators $D_0 = t d/dt$ and $D_1 = h_0/2$. This is equivalent to the assignment

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg e_i &= (i, 1), \quad \deg f_i = (i, -1), \quad \deg h_i = (i, 0), \\ \deg v_l^{(k)} &= (0, l/2). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Spaces of coinvariants. For a module V over an algebra A and a right ideal $Y \subset A$, we call V/YV the space of coinvariants and use the abbreviated notation V/Y . In this paper we consider spaces of coinvariants of $L_l^{(k)}$ with respect to two kinds of ideals of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t])$.

The first ideal is generated by polynomial currents which have N -fold zeroes at the origin:

$$B_N = \mathfrak{a}_N U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]), \quad \mathfrak{a}_N = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes t^N \mathbb{C}[t].$$

The second ideal is defined as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define right ideals of $U = U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t])$ by

$$Y_m = e_0^{m+1} U + f_0 U + B_1, \quad \bar{Y}_m = f_0^{m+1} U + e_0 U + B_1.$$

Fix distinct complex numbers $\mathcal{Z} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{p+\bar{p}})$. Choose integers $k_1, \dots, k_p, \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, and set

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_k), \quad M_a = \#\{i \mid k_i = a\},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\mathbf{M}} = (\bar{M}_1, \dots, \bar{M}_k), \quad \bar{M}_a = \#\{i \mid \bar{k}_i = a\}.$$

With the above data, we associate the fusion right ideal in the sense of [FJKLM1],

$$(2.4) \quad Y_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = Y_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes Y_{k_p} \otimes \bar{Y}_{\bar{k}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{Y}_{\bar{k}_{\bar{p}}}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Notation being as above, we consider the spaces of coinvariants

$$(2.5) \quad L_l^{(k)} / B_N,$$

$$(2.6) \quad L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

The first space (2.5) was introduced in [FL] for general non-twisted affine Lie algebras, and was studied in [FKLMM1] for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. The second space (2.6) appears as Example 4 in [FJKLM1]. In the special case $M_i = \delta_{ik} M$ and $\bar{M}_i = \delta_{ik} \bar{M}$, (2.6) coincides with the space of coinvariants studied in [FKLMM2, FKLMM3].

Since B_N is a homogeneous ideal, the space (2.5) inherits a natural bi-grading from $L_l^{(k)}$. On the other hand, the ideal $Y_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z})$ is not homogeneous, and the space (2.6) is only filtered. Instead we consider the associated graded space $\text{gr}\left(L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z})\right)$. The aim of this paper is to find an expression for the characters of these graded spaces.

2.3. q -multinomials and restricted Kostka polynomials. The character formulas we are going to present consists of three pieces: certain q -multinomial symbols, restricted Kostka polynomials, and the character of the fusion product of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. In this subsection we recall them.

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q^{m-n+1})_n}{(q)_n} & (0 \leq n \leq m), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

denote the q -binomial symbol, wherein $(z)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - zq^j)$. For an array of non-negative integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$, we set

$$|\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{i=1}^k im_i,$$

$$\lambda_a(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{i=a}^k m_i \quad (1 \leq a \leq k),$$

and $\lambda(\mathbf{m}) = (\lambda_1(\mathbf{m}), \dots, \lambda_k(\mathbf{m}))$. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_k)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$, $\lambda = \lambda(\mathbf{M})$, $\mu = \lambda(\mathbf{m})$. We define

$$(2.7) \quad F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(q) = q^{\sum_{a=1}^{k-1} \mu_{a+1}(\lambda_a - \mu_a)} \prod_{a=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_a - \mu_{a+1} \\ \mu_a - \mu_{a+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

These are q -analogs of the coefficients $F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(1)$ appearing in the expansion

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 + x_1)^{M_1} (1 + x_1 + x_2)^{M_2} \cdots (1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^{M_k} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(1) x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the sum is taken over $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$. Note that the summand is zero unless $\lambda_a(\mathbf{m}) \leq \lambda_a(\mathbf{M})$ for $a = 1, \dots, k$.

Another ingredient is the level-restricted Kostka polynomial for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. We make use of its fermionic formula [SS] given by

$$(2.9) \quad K_{l, \mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(q) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k \\ 2|\mathbf{n}| = |\mathbf{m}| - l}} q^{c(\mathbf{n})} \prod_{a=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} p_a + n_a \\ n_a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have set $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c(\mathbf{n}) &= \sum_{a,b=1}^k A_{ab} n_a n_b + \sum_{a=1}^k v_a n_a, \\ p_a &= \sum_{a,b=1}^k A_{ab} (m_b - 2n_b) - v_a, \\ A_{ab} &= \min(a, b), \quad v_a = \max(a - k + l, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The third ingredient is the character of the fusion product of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules. Let π_l denote the $(l+1)$ -dimensional irreducible module of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and let u_l be the lowest weight vector. The fusion product

$$(2.10) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{m}} = \pi_1^{*m_1} * \cdots * \pi_k^{*m_k},$$

where $\pi_a^{*m_a}$ means $\pi_a * \cdots * \pi_a$ (m_a -times), is a graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -module. Assign degrees (2.1) and the degree $(0, -|\mathbf{m}|/2)$ to the cyclic vector $u_1^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes$

$u_k^{\otimes m_k}$. The following formula is due to [FL],[FF].

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{q,z} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} &= z^{-|\mathbf{m}|/2} \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(q, z), \\ \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(q, z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{n}} z^{|\mathbf{m}|-|\mathbf{n}|} F_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}(q). \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Dimensions. As mentioned in Introduction, the dimensions of the spaces of coinvariants (2.5),(2.6) are described by the use of the Verlinde algebra. In the case (2.5) we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \left(L_l^{(k)} / B_N \right) &= \left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^k (j+1)[j] \right)^N : [l] \right)_k \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{(0,\dots,0,N),\mathbf{m}}(1) K_{l,\mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(1) \chi_{\mathbf{m}}(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the case (2.6) we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \left(L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M},\overline{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathbb{Z}) \right) &= \left(\prod_{a=1}^k ([0] + [1] + \dots + [a])^{M_a + \bar{M}_a} : [l] \right)_k \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m},\overline{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{m}}(1) F_{\overline{\mathbf{M}},\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(1) K_{l,\mathbf{m}+\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{(k)}(1). \end{aligned}$$

In both of these formulas, the second equality follows from the first by using (2.8) and the known formula

$$([1]^{m_1} \dots [k]^{m_k} : [l])_k = K_{l,\mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(1).$$

Eq. (2.12) was obtained in [FL]. Eq. (2.13) is a special case of a multiplicative formula obtained in [FJKLM1] (Theorem 2.9, see also Example 4).

2.5. Fermionic formulas. We now state our main results which are natural q -analogs of the above dimension formulas.

Theorem 2.1. *The character of the space (2.5) is given by*

$$(2.14) \quad \text{ch}_{q,z} (L_l^{(k)} / B_N) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{(0,\dots,0,N),\mathbf{m}}(q) K_{l,\mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(q) \text{ch}_{q,z} \pi_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *The character of the associated graded space of (2.6) is given by*

$$(2.15) \quad \text{ch}_{q,z\text{gr}} \left(L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M},\overline{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathbb{Z}) \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{m},\overline{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{m}}(q) F_{\overline{\mathbf{M}},\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(q) K_{l,\mathbf{m}+\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{(k)}(q) z^{|\mathbf{m}|-|\overline{\mathbf{m}}|}.$$

In the previous studies, fermionic formulas of different type have been obtained: for (2.5) in [FKLMM1] (when $k=1$), and for (2.6) in [FKLMM3] (when $M_{ik} = \delta_{ik} M, \overline{M}_{ik} = \delta_{ik} \overline{M}$). Theorem 2.2 is a direct generalization

of the formula (3.15) in [FJKLM2] where the case (2.6) with $\overline{M}_1 = \cdots = \overline{M}_k = 0$ was treated.

The rest of the text is devoted to the proof of Theorems 2.1, 2.2.

3. SPACE OF COINVARIANTS $L_l^{(k)}/B_N$

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1.

3.1. Coinvariants of fusion products. For the computation of the character (2.14), we make use of the fusion product of certain reducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules.

Fix N distinct complex numbers $\mathcal{Z} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)$. Set

$$B_{1,\mathcal{Z}} = \mathfrak{a}_N(\mathcal{Z})U(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]), \quad \mathfrak{a}_N(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \prod_{j=1}^N (t - \zeta_j)\mathbb{C}[t].$$

Since B_N and $B_{1,\mathcal{Z}}$ are two-sided ideals, the space of coinvariants $L_l^{(k)}/B_N$, $L_l^{(k)}/B_{1,\mathcal{Z}}$ admit the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$. The former may be viewed as the ‘limit’ of the latter when all points ζ_i tend to 0. It is known that the dimension does not change in this limit:

Theorem 3.1. ([FKLMM1], *Theorem 9*) *We have the equality of dimensions*

$$\dim(L_l^{(k)}/B_N) = \dim(L_l^{(k)}/B_{1,\mathcal{Z}}).$$

Let $\pi_l^\vee$ denote the dual representation of π_l , on which $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ acts from the left. Consider a reducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module

$$(3.1) \quad \varpi^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \pi_l^\vee \otimes \pi_l.$$

Let $\sigma_l \in \pi_l^\vee \otimes \pi_l$ be the canonical vector. Then

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma^{(k)} = \sum_{l=0}^k \sigma_l \in \varpi^{(k)}$$

is a cyclic vector of (3.1) viewed as a module over $0 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$. We consider the filtered tensor product $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\varpi^{(k)}, \dots, \varpi^{(k)})$ and the associated fusion product

$$(3.3) \quad \varpi^{(k)} * \dots * \varpi^{(k)},$$

by choosing $\sigma = \sigma^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{(k)}$ as the cyclic vector. For $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$, write $x' = (x, 0)$, $x'' = (0, x) \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Since $(x' + x'')\sigma = 0$, the filtered tensor product as $(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2)[t]$ -modules coincides with the one as $(0 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2)[t]$ -modules.

Theorem 3.2. ([FJKLM1], Theorem 3.6, Theorem A.3)

(i) We have an isomorphism of filtered $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules

$$L_l^{(k)} / B_{1,z} \simeq \mathcal{F}_Z(\varpi^{(k)}, \dots, \varpi^{(k)}) / \langle e_0'', e_1''^{k-l+1}, h_0'' + l \rangle,$$

where $\langle S \rangle$ signifies the right ideal of $U(0 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2[t])$ generated by the set S . The action of an element $x_i \in \mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ on the left hand side is sent to the action of x_i' on the right hand side.

(ii) There are canonical surjections

$$L_l^{(k)} / B_N \longrightarrow \varpi^{(k)} * \dots * \varpi^{(k)} / \langle e_0'', e_1''^{k-l+1}, h_0'' + l \rangle \longrightarrow \text{gr} \left(L_l^{(k)} / B_{1,z} \right).$$

Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we obtain

Proposition 3.3. We have an isomorphism of graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules

$$L_l^{(k)} / B_N \simeq \varpi^{(k)} * \dots * \varpi^{(k)} / \langle e_0'', e_1''^{k-l+1}, h_0'' + l \rangle.$$

In particular, both sides have the same characters.

In what follows we study the fusion product (3.3) and its quotient.

3.2. Changing cyclic vectors. As the next step, we change the cyclic vector of (3.3) into a simpler one. For that purpose we utilize the embedding of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{o}_4 \subset \mathfrak{o}_5$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_5$ is realized as the Lie algebra of matrices

$$\mathfrak{o}_5 = \left\{ \sum_{a,b=1}^5 c_{ab} e_{ab} \mid c_{ab} \in \mathbb{C}, c_{ab} + c_{6-b, 6-a} = 0 \quad (1 \leq a, b \leq 5) \right\}.$$

The set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{o}_5$ has the form $\Delta_+ = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$, where ϵ_1, ϵ_2 are orthonormal vectors. We choose root vectors corresponding to Δ_+ and $-\Delta_+$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} X &= e_{12} - e_{45}, & \overline{X} &= e_{21} - e_{54}, \\ Y &= e_{14} - e_{25}, & \overline{Y} &= e_{41} - e_{52}, \\ Z &= \sqrt{2}(-e_{13} + e_{35}), & \overline{Z} &= \sqrt{2}(-e_{31} + e_{53}), \\ T &= \sqrt{2}(e_{23} - e_{34}), & \overline{T} &= \sqrt{2}(e_{32} - e_{43}). \end{aligned}$$

The abelian subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{C}X \oplus \mathbb{C}Y \oplus \mathbb{C}Z \subset \mathfrak{o}_5$$

will play a role in the sequel. We have a commuting pair of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sl}_2' &= \mathbb{C}Y \oplus \mathbb{C}\overline{Y} \oplus \mathbb{C}[Y, \overline{Y}], \\ \mathfrak{sl}_2'' &= \mathbb{C}X \oplus \mathbb{C}\overline{X} \oplus \mathbb{C}[X, \overline{X}], \end{aligned}$$

which span the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}_4 \subset \mathfrak{o}_5$.

Let $\omega_1 = \epsilon_1$ be the highest weight of the natural representation $\mathbb{C}^5$ of $\mathfrak{o}_5$. Denote by $\Pi^{(k)}$ the representation with highest weight $k\omega_1$, and let $v^{(k)}$ be

its lowest weight vector. Denoting by $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ the span of the negative root vectors $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{T}$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{n}} v^{(k)} = 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad T v^{(k)} = 0, \quad X^{k+1} v^{(k)} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.4. (i) *As a module over the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}_4$ we have a decomposition*

$$(3.6) \quad \Pi^{(k)} \simeq \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \pi_l \otimes \pi_l.$$

(ii) *Regard $\Pi^{(k)}$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module via the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2''$, and $\varpi^{(k)}$ via that of $0 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Then there exists an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules*

$$\nu : \Pi^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varpi^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \pi_l^\vee \otimes \pi_l$$

such that $\nu(e^Z v^{(k)}) = \sigma^{(k)}$.

Proof. Assertion (i) can be easily verified, say by comparing characters.

To see (ii), first note the following. Let θ be the anti-automorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ given by $\theta(e) = f, \theta(h) = h$. Fix a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\mid)$ on π_l satisfying $(\theta(x)u \mid v) = (u \mid xv)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2, u, v \in \pi_l$, and define $i : \pi_l \rightarrow \pi_l^\vee$ by $i(u) = (u \mid \cdot)$. Then an element $\sigma \in \pi_l^\vee \otimes \pi_l$ is proportional to the canonical element if and only if the element $\sigma' = (i^{-1} \otimes 1)\sigma \in \pi_l \otimes \pi_l$ satisfies $(-\theta(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)\sigma' = 0$ for $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\bar{Y} + X)e^Z v^{(k)} &= e^Z (-\bar{Y} - \bar{T})v^{(k)} = 0, \\ (-Y + \bar{X})e^Z v^{(k)} &= e^Z (-T + \bar{X})v^{(k)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will show also in the proof of Proposition 3.5 below that $e^Z v^{(k)}$ generates $\Pi^{(k)}$ over $\mathfrak{sl}_2''$. Hence if $e^Z v^{(k)} = \sum_{l=0}^k \sigma'_l$ is the decomposition according to (3.6), then we have $\sigma'_l \neq 0$. Assertion (ii) follows from these observations. $\square$

Let now $k_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}, i = 1, \dots, N$. Generalizing slightly the setting of (3.3), we consider the filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{o}_5[t]$ -modules

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{F}_Z \left(\Pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \Pi^{(k_N)} \right),$$

choosing the cyclic vector

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{v} = v^{(k_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v^{(k_N)}.$$

Set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sigma^{(k_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{(k_N)}.$$

We retain the notation $Z_i = Z \otimes t^i$ and so forth.

Proposition 3.5. *The following are isomorphic as filtered vector spaces.*

- (i) *Filtered tensor product of $(0 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2)[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_Z(\varpi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \varpi^{(k_N)})$, with σ as cyclic vector,*
- (ii) *Filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{o}_5[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_Z(\Pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \Pi^{(k_N)})$, with $\mathbf{v}$ as cyclic vector,*
- (iii) *Filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_Z(\Pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \Pi^{(k_N)})$, with $\mathbf{v}$ as cyclic vector.*

For the proof we use

Lemma 3.6. *For all m and $i \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_i Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} &= -2Y_i Z_0^{(m-1)} \mathbf{v}, \\ \overline{X}_i Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} &= Y_i Z_0^{(m-2)} \mathbf{v}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_0^{(m)} = Z_0^m/m!$ if $m \geq 0$ and $= 0$ if $m < 0$.

Proof. This follows from $[\overline{X}_i, Z_0] = -T_i$, $[T_i, Z_0] = -2Y_i$, $\overline{X}_i \mathbf{v} = T_i \mathbf{v} = 0$ and that $\overline{X}_i, T_j, Y_l$ are mutually commutative. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.5. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is a consequence of the relations (3.4), (3.5) and the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem.

For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, let $U^{\leq d}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ stand for the subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ spanned by elements of degree at most d with respect to the grading in t . Set $F^d = U^{\leq d}(\mathfrak{sl}_2''[t])e^{Z_0} \mathbf{v}$, $G^d = U^{\leq d}(\mathfrak{o}_5[t]) \mathbf{v}$. In view of Lemma 3.4, to show the equivalence of (i) and (ii) it suffices to prove the equality $F^d = G^d$ for all $d \geq 0$. Since $e^{Z_0} \mathbf{v} \in G^0$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_2''[t] \subset \mathfrak{o}_5[t]$, we have $F^d \subset G^d$. Let us prove the opposite inclusion.

Set $H_p = [X_p, \overline{X}_0] \in \mathfrak{sl}_2''[t]$. Since $[H_0, Z_0^{(m)}] = mZ_0^{(m)}$, $e^{Z_0} \mathbf{v} \in F^0$ implies $Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} \in F^0$ for all m . From Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} &= \overline{X}_i Z_0^{(m+2)} \mathbf{v}, \\ T_i Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} &= -2\overline{X}_i Z_0^{(m+1)} \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_i F^d, \overline{X}_i F^d \subset F^{d+i}$ and $\overline{X}_i, T_j, Y_l$ are mutually commutative, we find by induction that

$$\left(\prod_{a=1}^r X_{i_a} \prod_{b=1}^s Y_{j_b} \prod_{c=1}^t T_{l_c} \right) Z_0^{(m)} \mathbf{v} \in F^d$$

if $\sum_{a=1}^r i_a + \sum_{b=1}^s j_b + \sum_{c=1}^t l_c \leq d$. Applying H_p repeatedly to this expression using $[H_p, Z_i] = Z_{i+p}$, we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{a=1}^r X_{i_a} \prod_{b=1}^s Y_{j_b} \prod_{c=1}^t T_{l_c} \prod_{g=1}^w Z_{p_g} \right) \mathbf{v} \in F^d$$

for $\sum_{a=1}^r i_a + \sum_{b=1}^s j_b + \sum_{c=1}^t l_c + \sum_{g=1}^w p_g \leq d$. Therefore the PBW theorem implies $G^d \subset F^d$. $\square$

Henceforth we set

$$(3.9) \quad V_{\mathbf{M}} = \Pi^{(k_1)} * \dots * \Pi^{(k_N)},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_k), \quad M_a = \#\{j \mid k_j = a\}.$$

We regard the fusion product as a module over $U(\mathfrak{a}[t]) = \mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i, Z_i \ (i \geq 0)]$ with cyclic vector (3.8). From (2.8) and (3.6) we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim V_{\mathbf{M}} &= \dim \Pi^{(k_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \Pi^{(k_N)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(1) (\dim \pi_1^{\otimes m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k^{\otimes m_k})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The operators $D_0 = td/dt$, $D_1 = [Y_0, \overline{Y}_0]/2$, $D_2 = [X_0, \overline{X}_0]/2$ give the following grading on (3.9).

$$(3.12) \quad \deg X_i = (i, 0, 1),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \deg Y_i = (i, 1, 0),$$

$$(3.14) \quad \deg Z_i = (i, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \deg \mathbf{v} = (0, -\frac{|\mathbf{M}|}{2}, -\frac{|\mathbf{M}|}{2}).$$

Our goal is to obtain the character of the quotient space

$$(3.16) \quad V_{\mathbf{M}} / (X_0 V_{\mathbf{M}} + X_1^{k-l+1} V_{\mathbf{M}} + (D_2 + l/2) V_{\mathbf{M}}).$$

3.3. Annihilation conditions. Let us determine the relations satisfied by the cyclic vector $\mathbf{v} \in V_{\mathbf{M}}$.

From the relations (3.4), (3.5), for any ξ we have $e^{\xi T} X^{k+1} e^{-\xi T} v^{(k)} = 0$, or equivalently

$$(X + \xi Z - \xi^2 Y)^{k+1} v^{(k)} = 0.$$

For an element $\eta \in \mathfrak{a}$, consider the generating function $\eta(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \eta_i z^i$. On the N -fold fusion product (3.9), the operators η_i ($i \geq N$) act as 0. Therefore $\eta(z)$ acts as a polynomial of degree at most $N - 1$.

Proposition 3.7. *For an indeterminate ξ , the following relations hold on the fusion product $V_{\mathbf{M}}$:*

$$(3.17) \quad \deg_z (X(z) + \xi Z(z) - \xi^2 Y(z))^\nu \mathbf{v} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(\nu, i) M_i - \nu$$

for any $\nu \geq 0$.

Here $\deg_z$ signifies the degree of a polynomial in z .

Proof. We repeat the argument of [FF]. For convenience we assume that $\zeta_a \neq 0$. Set $x(z) = X(z) + \xi Z(z) - \xi^2 Y(z)$ and $\tilde{x}(z) = \prod_{a=1}^N (1 - \zeta_a z) \cdot x(z) =$

$\sum_{i \geq 0} \tilde{x}_i z^i$. By the definition of the filtered tensor product, x_i acts on the a -th tensor component of (3.7) as $\zeta_a^i x$. We have an operator identity

$$\tilde{x}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^N \prod_{b(\neq a)} (1 - \zeta_b z) 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{a\text{-th}}{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1.$$

In particular, the relations $\tilde{x}_i = 0$ ($i \geq N$) and $\tilde{x}(\zeta_a^{-1})^{k_a+1} = 0$ hold. Therefore $\tilde{x}(z)^\nu$ is divisible by $(1 - \zeta_a z)^{\max(\nu - k_a, 0)}$, so that

$$\prod_{a=1}^N (1 - \zeta_a z)^{\nu - \max(\nu - k_a, 0)} \cdot x(z)^\nu$$

acts as a polynomial of degree at most

$$\nu(N-1) - \sum_{a=1}^N \max(\nu - k_a, 0) = \sum_{a=1}^N \min(\nu, k_a) - \nu.$$

Passing to the associated graded space, $1 - \zeta_a z$ can be replaced by 1 because z picks up operators of lower degree. The assertion follows from this. $\square$

The relations (3.17) are equivalently written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg_z \left(X(z)^a Z(z)^b + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq b/2} C_j^{ab} X(z)^{a+j} Y(z)^j Z(z)^{b-2j} \right) \mathbf{v} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(a+b, i) M_i - (a+b) \quad (a, b \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

with some constants C_j^{ab} , and similar relations with X and Y interchanged. Let us simplify them further. Denote by $\mathcal{G}^m$ the $\mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i \ (i \geq 0)]$ -submodule of $V_{\mathbf{M}}$ spanned by $\prod_{a=1}^r X_{i_a} \prod_{b=1}^s Y_{j_b} \prod_{c=1}^t Z_{l_c} \mathbf{v}$ with $t \leq m$. This defines a filtration

$$\mathcal{G} : 0 = \mathcal{G}^{-1} \subset \mathcal{G}^0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{G}^{i-1} \subset \mathcal{G}^i \subset \cdots \subset V_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

On the associated graded space $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V_{\mathbf{M}} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{G}^i / \mathcal{G}^{i-1}$, we have the relations

$$(3.18) \quad \deg_z X(z)^a Z(z)^b \mathbf{v} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(a+b, i) M_i - (a+b),$$

$$(3.19) \quad \deg_z Y(z)^a Z(z)^b \mathbf{v} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \min(a+b, i) M_i - (a+b),$$

valid for all $a, b \geq 0$.

3.4. Subquotient modules and recursion. Suggested by the relations (3.18),(3.19), we introduce a family of cyclic modules

$$(3.20) \quad W(k_1, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r) = \mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i, Z_i \ (i \geq 0)] \mathbf{1},$$

defined by the following relations for the cyclic vector $\mathbf{1}$:

$$(3.21) \quad \deg_z X(z)^a Z(z)^b \mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \min(a+b, k_i) + \sum_{j=1}^r \min(a, l_j) - (a+b),$$

$$(3.22) \quad \deg_z Y(z)^a Z(z)^b \mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \min(a+b, k_i) + \sum_{j=1}^r \min(a, l_j) - (a+b),$$

for all $a, b \geq 0$. We also write (3.20) as

$$W_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{n}} = W(k_1, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r), \\ \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k), \quad n_a = \#\{j \mid l_j = a\}.$$

In the case $p = N$ and $r = 0$, (3.18),(3.19) imply that we have a surjection

$$(3.23) \quad W(k_1, \dots, k_N |) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V_M \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the case $p = 0$, the relations (3.21),(3.22) reduce to

$$Z(z) \mathbf{1} = 0, \\ \deg_z X(z)^a \mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \min(a, l_j) - a, \\ \deg_z Y(z)^a \mathbf{1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \min(a, l_j) - a.$$

The last two relations are each identical to the defining relations for the cyclic vector of the fusion product of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules [FF]. Therefore we have

$$(3.24) \quad W(l_1, \dots, l_r) \simeq (\pi_{l_1} * \dots * \pi_{l_r}) \otimes (\pi_{l_1} * \dots * \pi_{l_r})$$

as $\mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i \ (i \geq 0)]$ -modules.

We are now in a position to give a recursion relation among (3.20). Suppose $p \geq 1, k_1 \geq 1$, and set

$$W = W(k_1, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r), \\ W' = W(k_2, \dots, k_p | k_1, l_1, \dots, l_r), \\ W'' = W(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r).$$

Let further $\overline{W}'$ be the subspace of W generated by $\mathbf{1}$ over $X_i, Y_i \ (i \geq 0)$ and $Z_i \ (i \geq 1)$.

The following Proposition can be shown in exactly the same way as that of Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 in [FJKLM2].

Proposition 3.8. (i) *There exists a surjection*

$$\iota : W' \longrightarrow \overline{W}'$$

given by $\iota(X_i) = X_i$, $\iota(Y_i) = Y_i$, $\iota(Z_i) = Z_{i+1}$.

(ii) *Assume that $k_1 \geq k_2, \dots, k_N$. Then there exists a surjection*

$$\phi : W'' \longrightarrow W/\overline{W}'$$

given by $\phi(bZ_0^{(m)}) = bZ_0^{(m+1)}$, where b is an element not divisible by Z_0 .

Introduce a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4$ grading on (3.20)

$$(3.25) \quad \deg X_i = (i, 0, 1, 0), \quad \deg Y_i = (i, 1, 0, 0), \quad \deg Z_i = (i, 0, 0, 1),$$

$$(3.26) \quad \deg \mathbf{1} = (0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Denoting by W_{d,i_1,i_2,i_3} the homogeneous component of degree (d, i_1, i_2, i_3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(W'_{d-i_3,i_1,i_2,i_3}) &\subset W_{d,i_1,i_2,i_3}, \\ \phi(W''_{d,i_1,i_2,i_3-1}) &\subset (W/\overline{W}')_{d,i_1,i_2,i_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.9. *We have an upper estimate*

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2,z_3} W_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{n}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{m}}(q) z_3^{|\mathbf{M}|-|\mathbf{m}|} \chi_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}(q, z_1) \chi_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}(q, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{m}}(q, z)$ is given in (2.11).

Proof. Suppose $k_1 \geq k_2, \dots, k_p$. From Proposition 3.8 we have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i \ (i \geq 0)]$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & W' & & & W'' & \\ & & \downarrow \iota & & & \downarrow \phi & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{W}' & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & W/\overline{W}' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are surjective. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2,z_3} W(k_1, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r) \\ &\leq \text{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2,qz_3} W(k_2, \dots, k_p | k_1, l_1, \dots, l_r) \\ &\quad + z_3 \text{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2,z_3} W(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_p | l_1, \dots, l_r). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the working of Theorem 2.11 in [FJKLM2] and using

$$\text{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2,z_3} W(|l_1, \dots, l_r) = \chi_{\mathbf{n}}(q, z_1) \chi_{\mathbf{n}}(q, z_2)$$

which follows from (3.24), we obtain the assertion. $\square$

In Proposition 3.9, take $r = 0$ and specialize to $q = z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 1$. Using (3.11), we obtain an estimate

$$\dim W(k_1, \dots, k_N) \leq \dim V_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

From (3.23) we have also the opposite inequality. We thus find that

$$W(k_1, \dots, k_N) \simeq \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

At the same time, the maps ι, ϕ appearing in the intermediate steps are isomorphisms. This implies that $W(k_1, \dots, k_N)$ has a filtration with subquotients of the form $W(l_1, \dots, l_r)$.

Choosing $z_3^2 = z_1 z_2$ in the right hand side of (3.27), and multiplying $(z_1 z_2)^{-|\mathbf{M}|/2}$ on both sides, we obtain a formula for the character of $V_{\mathbf{M}}$.

Let us summarize the conclusion as Theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *There exists a filtration $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V_{\mathbf{M}}$ by $\mathbb{C}[X_i, Y_i \ (i \geq 0)]$ -modules such that*

$$\mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V_{\mathbf{M}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}} \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{m}},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}$ is a trivial module with the character

$$\mathrm{ch}_q \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}} = F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(q).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_{q, z_1, z_2} V_{\mathbf{M}} = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(q) \mathrm{ch}_{q, z_1} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} \mathrm{ch}_{q, z_2} \pi_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

3.5. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us return to the space of coinvariants (2.5).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It remains to calculate the character of the quotient space (3.16). Let us set $\mathbf{M} = (0, \dots, 0, N)$, $V = V_{\mathbf{M}}$, $V' = \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V$ and $V'' = \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{gr}^{\mathcal{G}} V$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ch}_{q, z} V / (X_0 V + X_1^{k-l+1} V + (D_2 + l/2) V) \\ & \leq \mathrm{ch}_{q, z} V' / (X_0 V' + X_1^{k-l+1} V' + (D_2 + l/2) V') \\ & \leq \mathrm{ch}_{q, z} V'' / (X_0 V'' + X_1^{k-l+1} V'' + (D_2 + l/2) V'') \\ & = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(q) \mathrm{ch}_{q, z} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} \\ & \quad \times \mathrm{ch}_q \pi_{\mathbf{m}} / (X_0 \pi_{\mathbf{m}} + X_1^{k-l+1} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} + (D_2 + l/2) \pi_{\mathbf{m}}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Theorem 3.10. Using the formula ([FJKLM1], Theorem 4.1)

$$\mathrm{ch}_q \pi_{\mathbf{m}} / (e_0 \pi_{\mathbf{m}} + e_1^{k-l+1} \pi_{\mathbf{m}} + (h_0 + l) \pi_{\mathbf{m}}) = K_{l, \mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(q),$$

we find that the last line coincides with the right hand side of (2.14). Comparing dimensions, we obtain the desired equality. Theorem 2.1 is proved. $\square$

Let us mention an immediate consequence of the above proof. Set

$$\mathcal{K}_{l,\mathbf{M}}(q, z) = \text{ch}_{q,z} V_{\mathbf{M}} / (e_0 V_{\mathbf{M}} + (h_0 + l) V_{\mathbf{M}}).$$

Corollary 3.11. *For $\mathbf{M} = (0, \dots, 0, N)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_{q,z}(L_l^{(k)} / B_N) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} q^{(k+2)i^2 + (l+1)i} \mathcal{K}_{2(k+2)i+l,\mathbf{M}}(q, z) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i > 0} q^{(k+2)i^2 - (l+1)i} \mathcal{K}_{2(k+2)i-l-2,\mathbf{M}}(q, z). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $K_{l,\mathbf{m}}(q) = \text{ch}_q \pi_{\mathbf{m}} / (e_0 \pi_{\mathbf{m}} + (h_0 + l) \pi_{\mathbf{m}})$ denote the (non-restricted) Kostka polynomial. The following alternating sum formula is known ([SS], eq.(6.8)):

$$\begin{aligned} K_{l,\mathbf{m}}^{(k)}(q) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} q^{(k+2)i^2 + (l+1)i} K_{2(k+2)i+l,\mathbf{m}}(q) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i > 0} q^{(k+2)i^2 - (l+1)i} K_{2(k+2)i-l-2,\mathbf{m}}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (2.14) we obtain the assertion. $\square$

Remark 3.12. *Corollary 3.11 confirms a conjecture of [FJKLM1], eq.(3.26), in the special case (2.5). We remark that a similar alternating sum formula was proposed earlier in [FL]. While the cyclic vector for (3.11) is the sum of canonical vectors, the one in [FL] (for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$) is chosen to be the tensor product of highest weight vectors of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$. At this moment we do not know the relation between the two.*

4. SPACE OF COINVARIANTS $L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z})$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is quite parallel to the previous one. We describe the main steps below, skipping minor details.

4.1. Fusion product. In the case of the space of coinvariants (2.6), we use reducible modules over $\mathbb{C}\tilde{h} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$, where $\mathbb{C}\tilde{h}$ is a one-dimensional Lie algebra. Let $u_l, \bar{u}_l$ denote respectively the lowest and highest weight vectors of π_l . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(m)} &= \bigoplus_{l=0}^m \pi_l, & \bar{\pi}^{(m)} &= \bigoplus_{l=0}^m \pi_l, \\ u^{(m)} &= \sum_{l=0}^m u_l, & \bar{u}^{(m)} &= \sum_{l=0}^m \bar{u}_l. \end{aligned}$$

We define the action of $\tilde{h}$ on $\pi^{(m)}, \bar{\pi}^{(m)}$ by the rules $\tilde{h}u_l = lu_l, \tilde{h}\bar{u}_l = -l\bar{u}_l$, and $[\tilde{h}, \mathfrak{sl}_2] = 0$. Consider the filtered tensor product

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \pi^{(k_p)}, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_1)}, \dots, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_{\bar{p}})})$$

as $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules taking $\mathbf{u} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ as cyclic vector, where

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{u} = u^{(k_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{(k_p)}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{u}^{(\bar{k}_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{u}^{(\bar{k}_p)}.$$

We use $\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}$ in (2.2), (2.3) to label the $k_i, \bar{k}_i$.

Theorem 4.1. ([FJKLM1], Theorem 3.6 and Example 4) *We have an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces*

$$(4.2) \quad L_l^{(k)} / Y_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}}(\mathcal{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \pi^{(k_p)}, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_1)}, \dots, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_p)}) / \langle e_0, h_0 + l, e_1^{k-l+1} \rangle.$$

The action of $h_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ on the left hand side corresponds to that of $\tilde{h}$ on the right hand side.

4.2. Changing cyclic vectors. We change the cyclic vector (4.1) to a simpler one with the aid of the embedding $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3$.

Let $\omega_1 = \bar{\epsilon}_1$, $\omega_2 = \bar{\epsilon}_1 + \bar{\epsilon}_2 = -\bar{\epsilon}_3$ be the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$, where $\bar{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)/3$ and ϵ_i are orthonormal vectors. Denote by Π_m (resp. $\bar{\Pi}_m$) the irreducible module with highest weight $m\omega_1$ (resp. $m\omega_2$), and by $v^{(m)} \in \Pi_m$ (resp. $\bar{v}^{(m)} \in \bar{\Pi}_m$) the lowest weight vector. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij}v^{(m)} &= 0, & e_{ij}\bar{v}^{(m)} &= 0 & (i > j), \\ e_{12}v^{(m)} &= 0, & e_{13}^a e_{23}^b v^{(m)} &= 0 & (a + b = m + 1), \\ e_{23}\bar{v}^{(m)} &= 0, & e_{13}^a e_{12}^b \bar{v}^{(m)} &= 0 & (a + b = m + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{v} = v^{(k_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v^{(k_p)}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{v}^{(\bar{k}_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{v}^{(\bar{k}_p)}.$$

Changing the convention of [FJKLM2], we regard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ as the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}e_{13} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{31} \oplus \mathbb{C}[e_{13}, e_{31}]$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$. We also use the subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathbb{C}e_{12} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{13} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{23} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3, \\ \mathfrak{b} &= \mathbb{C}e_{13} \subset \mathfrak{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *The following are isomorphic as filtered vector spaces.*

- (i) *Filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^{(k_1)}, \dots, \pi^{(k_p)}, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_1)}, \dots, \bar{\pi}^{(\bar{k}_p)})$, with $\mathbf{u} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ as cyclic vector,*
- (ii) *Filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{sl}_3[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\Pi_{k_1}, \dots, \Pi_{k_p}, \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_1}, \dots, \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_p})$, with $\mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ as cyclic vector,*
- (iii) *Filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ -modules $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\Pi_{k_1}, \dots, \Pi_{k_p}, \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_1}, \dots, \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_p})$, with $\mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ as cyclic vector.*

Proof. Set $\bar{v}^{(m)'} = s_{12}\bar{v}^{(m)}$, where s_{12} denotes the reflection with respect to the simple root $\bar{\epsilon}_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_2$. Then we have isomorphisms $\nu, \bar{\nu}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \Pi_m &\xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{(m)}, & \nu(\exp(e_{23})v^{(m)}) &= u^{(m)}, \\ \bar{\nu} : \bar{\Pi}_m &\xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\pi}^{(m)}, & \bar{\nu}(\exp(e_{23})\bar{v}^{(m)'}) &= \bar{u}^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

The filtered tensor product does not change if we change the cyclic vector from $\mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ to $s_{12}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathbf{v} \otimes s_{12}(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$. Hence the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition A.2 in [FJKLM2]. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from the PBW theorem. $\square$

If we set $h_{ab} = e_{aa} - e_{bb}$, then in the above we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^{-1} \circ \tilde{h} \circ \nu &= \frac{1}{3}(h_{12} - h_{23}) + \frac{2}{3}m, \\ \bar{\nu}^{-1} \circ \tilde{h} \circ \bar{\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}(h_{12} - h_{23}) - \frac{2}{3}m.\end{aligned}$$

Using the degree operators $D_0 = td/dt$, $D_1 = (2h_{12} + h_{23})/3$, $D_2 = (h_{12} + 2h_{23})/3$, we assign the grading to the corresponding fusion product as follows.

$$(4.4) \quad \deg e_{12,i} = (i, 1, 0), \quad \deg e_{23,i} = (i, 0, 1), \quad \deg e_{13,i} = (i, 1, 1),$$

$$(4.5) \quad \deg \mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}} = (0, -\frac{|\mathbf{M}| + 2|\bar{\mathbf{M}}|}{3}, -\frac{2|\mathbf{M}| + |\bar{\mathbf{M}}|}{3}).$$

We have then

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{h} = D_1 - D_2 + \frac{2}{3}(|\mathbf{M}| - |\bar{\mathbf{M}}|).$$

4.3. Annihilation conditions. The next task is to derive the annihilating conditions for the cyclic vector $\mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}}$. For that purpose we introduce an abelianization of the fusion product.

On $U(\mathfrak{n}[t])$ we have a filtration $\{U^{\leq i}(\mathfrak{n}[t])\}$ by degrees in t . Let us consider another filtration $\{U_{\leq i}(\mathfrak{n}[t])\}$. Let L be the linear span of $e_{12,i}, e_{23,i}$ ($i \geq 0$), and set

$$\begin{aligned}U_{\leq i}(\mathfrak{n}[t]) &= LU_{\leq (i-1)}(\mathfrak{n}[t]) + U_{\leq (i-1)}(\mathfrak{n}[t]), \\ U_{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{n}[t]) &= U(\mathfrak{b}[t]), \quad U_{\leq -1}(\mathfrak{n}[t]) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

On a cyclic $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ -module $W = U(\mathfrak{n}[t])\mathbf{w}$, we have an induced filtration

$$\begin{aligned}F^i W &= U^{\leq i}(\mathfrak{n}[t])\mathbf{w}, \\ G^i W &= U_{\leq i}(\mathfrak{n}[t])\mathbf{w}.\end{aligned}$$

On $\text{gr}^G W$ the actions of $e_{12,i}, e_{23,j}$ are commutative. Since $\mathfrak{b}[t] \subset \mathfrak{n}[t]$ is central, $G^i W$ is a $\mathfrak{b}[t]$ -module.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the filtered tensor product of $\mathfrak{n}[t]$ -modules given in Proposition 4.2, (iii). The filtration F gives rise to the fusion product

$$(4.7) \quad V_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}} = \text{gr}^F \mathcal{F} = \Pi_{k_1} * \cdots * \Pi_{k_p} * \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_1} * \cdots * \bar{\Pi}_{\bar{k}_{\bar{p}}}.$$

We have

$$(4.8) \quad \dim V_{\mathbf{M}, \bar{\mathbf{M}}} = \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \bar{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(1) F_{\bar{\mathbf{M}}, \bar{\mathbf{m}}}(1) \chi_{\mathbf{m} + \bar{\mathbf{m}}}(1, 1).$$

As before, we set $e_{ab}(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} e_{ab,i} z^i$ and $\tilde{e}_{ab}(z) = \prod_{r=1}^{p+\bar{p}} (1 - \zeta_r z) \cdot e_{ab}(z)$.

Proposition 4.3. *The following relations hold on $\mathrm{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}}$.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg_z e_{23}(z)^a e_{12}(z)^b e_{13}(z)^c \mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \min(a+c, k_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{p}} \min(b+c, \bar{k}_i) - (a+b+c) \\ & \quad \text{for any } a, b, c \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have $\tilde{e}_{12}(z)\mathbf{v} = 0$ and $\tilde{e}_{23}(z)\bar{\mathbf{v}} = 0$. Therefore on $\mathrm{gr}^G \mathcal{F}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad & \tilde{e}_{23}(z)^a \tilde{e}_{12}(z)^b \tilde{e}_{13}(z)^c \mathbf{v} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{v}} \\ & = \sum_{c_1+c_2=c} \frac{c!}{c_1!c_2!} (\tilde{e}_{23}(z)^a \tilde{e}_{13}(z)^{c_1} \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\tilde{e}_{12}(z)^b \tilde{e}_{13}(z)^{c_2} \bar{\mathbf{v}}). \end{aligned}$$

At the point $z = \zeta_1^{-1}$, $\tilde{e}_{23}(z)^a \tilde{e}_{13}(z)^{c_1} \mathbf{v}$ has a zero of order $\max(c_1 + a - k_1, 0)$ and $\tilde{e}_{12}(z)^b \tilde{e}_{13}(z)^{c_2} \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ has a zero of order $c_2 + b$. Therefore, at $z = \zeta_1^{-1}$ the right hand side of (4.9) has a zero of order at least $\max(c + a - k_1, 0) + b$. Proceeding in the same way, we find that the left hand side of (4.9) is divisible by

$$\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \zeta_i z)^{\max(a+c-k_i, 0)+b} \prod_{i=1}^{\bar{p}} (1 - \zeta_{p+i} z)^{\max(b+c-\bar{k}_i, 0)+a}.$$

Counting degrees and passing to $\mathrm{gr}^F \mathrm{gr}^G \mathcal{F} = \mathrm{gr}^G \mathrm{gr}^F \mathcal{F} = \mathrm{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}}$, we obtain the assertion. $\square$

4.4. Subquotient modules and recursion. Let

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{C}X \oplus \mathbb{C}Y \oplus \mathbb{C}Z$$

be an abelian Lie algebra. We regard $\mathrm{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}}$ as an $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ -module where X_i, Y_i, Z_i act as $e_{12,i}, e_{23,i}, e_{13,i}$, respectively. Introduce a family of cyclic modules

$$(4.10) \quad W(k_1, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r) = U(\mathfrak{a}[t]) \mathbf{1}$$

by the following defining relations.

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg_z Y(z)^a X(z)^b Z(z)^c \mathbf{1} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \min(a+c, k_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{p}} \min(b+c, \bar{k}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^r \min(c, l_i) - (a+b+c) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \geq 0$.

In the case $p = 0$, the module $W(|\bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}}| l_1, \dots, l_r)$ is a special case of the ones studied in [FJKLM2].

From Proposition 4.3, we have also a surjection of $U(\mathfrak{a}[t])$ -modules

$$(4.11) \quad W(k_1, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} |) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The rest of the working is entirely similar to the previous section. Set

$$\begin{aligned} W &= W(k_1, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r), \\ W' &= W(k_2, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | k_1, l_1, \dots, l_r), \\ W'' &= W(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r). \end{aligned}$$

Let further $\overline{W}'$ be the subspace of W generated by $\mathbf{1}$ over X_i, Z_i ($i \geq 0$) and Y_i ($i \geq 1$).

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $k_1 \geq k_2, \dots, k_p$. Then there exists surjective maps of $\mathbb{C}[Z_i$ ($i \geq 0$)]-modules*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota &: W' \rightarrow \overline{W}', \\ \phi &: W'' \rightarrow W/\overline{W}'. \end{aligned}$$

The maps are

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(X_i) &= X_i, \quad \iota(Y_i) = Y_{i+1}, \quad \iota(Z_i) = Z_i, \\ \phi(bY_0^{(m)}) &= bY_0^{(m+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where b is not divisible by Y_0 . Similar maps exist if we exchange the roles of $k_1, \dots, k_p$ with $\bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}}$ and Y_i with X_i .

We have a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^4$ grading on (4.10) given by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg X_i &= (i, 1, 0, 0), \quad \deg Y_i = (i, 0, 1, 0), \quad \deg Z_i = (i, 0, 0, 1), \\ \deg \mathbf{1} &= (0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The character satisfies the recursive estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ch}_{q, z_1, z_2, z_3} W(k_1, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r) \\ &\leq \text{ch}_{q, z_1, qz_2, z_3} W(k_2, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | k_1, l_1, \dots, l_r) \\ &+ z_2 \text{ch}_{q, z_1, z_2, z_3} W(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r), \end{aligned}$$

under the assumption that $k_1 \geq k_2, \dots, k_p$. From this and the known initial condition for $p = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{ch}_{q, z_1, z_2, z_3} W(k_1, \dots, k_p | \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{p}} | l_1, \dots, l_r) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{m}}(q) F_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}}(q) z_2^{|\mathbf{M}| - |\mathbf{m}|} z_1^{|\overline{\mathbf{M}}| - |\overline{\mathbf{m}}|} \chi_{\mathbf{m} + \overline{\mathbf{m}} + \mathbf{n}}(q, z_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$, $n_a = \#\{j \mid l_j = a\}$. From (4.11) and (4.8), we have an equality for $r = 0$.

In order to obtain the character of the fusion product, we specialize $z_3 = z_1 z_2$ and supply an overall power $z_1^{-(|\mathbf{M}| + 2|\overline{\mathbf{M}}|)/3} z_2^{-(2|\mathbf{M}| + |\overline{\mathbf{M}}|)/3}$, to take into account the degree of the cyclic vector (4.5).

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a filtration H of $\text{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}}$ by $\mathbb{C}[Z_i$ ($i \geq 0$)]-modules such that*

$$\text{gr}^H \text{gr}^G V_{\mathbf{M}, \overline{\mathbf{M}}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}, \overline{\mathbf{m}}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{m}; \overline{\mathbf{M}}\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{m} + \overline{\mathbf{m}}},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{m};\overline{\mathbf{M}}\overline{\mathbf{m}}}$ is a trivial module with the character

$$\mathrm{ch}_q \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{m};\overline{\mathbf{M}}\overline{\mathbf{m}}} = F_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{m}}(q) F_{\overline{\mathbf{M}},\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(q).$$

The following formula holds for the character of the fusion product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_{q,z_1,z_2} V_{\mathbf{M},\overline{\mathbf{M}}} &= \sum_{\mathbf{m},\overline{\mathbf{m}}} F_{\mathbf{M},\mathbf{m}}(q) F_{\overline{\mathbf{M}},\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(q) \mathrm{ch}_{q,(z_1 z_2)} \pi_{\mathbf{m}+\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \\ &\times (z_1^{-1} z_2)^{(|\mathbf{M}|-|\overline{\mathbf{M}}|)/3-(|\mathbf{m}|-|\overline{\mathbf{m}}|)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally Theorem 2.2 follows by taking the quotient with respect to Z_0 , Z_1^{k-l+1} , $D_2 + l/2$ and using the information about the dimension (2.13). In view of (4.5) and (4.6), the character is obtained by setting $z_1 = z$, $z_2 = z^{-1}$ and multiplying by $z^{2(|\mathbf{M}|-|\overline{\mathbf{M}}|)/3}$.

Acknowledgments. BF is partially supported by the grants RFBR-02-01-01015, RFBR-01-01-00906 and INTAS-00-00055. SL is partially supported by the grants RFBR-02-01-01015 and RFBR-01-01-00546. JM is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B2) no.12440039, and TM is partially supported by (A1) no.13304010, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- [FF] B. L. Feigin and E. Feigin, q -characters of the tensor products in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case, math.QA/0201111 (2002).
- [FJKLM1] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, S. Loktev and T. Miwa, Spaces of coinvariants and fusion product I. From equivalence theorem to Kostka polynomials. math.QA/0205324
- [FJKLM2] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, S. Loktev and T. Miwa, Spaces of coinvariants and fusion Product II. $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ character formulas in terms of Kostka polynomials. math.QA/0208156
- [FKLMM1] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, Combinatorics of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ Spaces of Coinvariants, Transformation Groups **6** (2001) 25–52.
- [FKLMM2] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, Combinatorics of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ Spaces of Coinvariants: Loop Heisenberg modules and recursion, math.QA/0009198
- [FKLMM3] B. Feigin, R. Kedem, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, Combinatorics of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ spaces of coinvariants: Dual functional realization and recursion, math.QA/0012190
- [FL] B. L. Feigin and S. Loktev, On generalized Kostka polynomials and quantum Verlinde rule, math.QA/9812093, *Amer. Math. Sci. Transl.* **194** (1999) 61–79.
- [SS] A. Schilling and M. Shimozono, Fermionic formulas for level-restricted generalized Kostka polynomials and coset branching functions, math.QA/0001114, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **220** (2001) 105–164.
- [SW] A. Schilling and S. O. Warnaar, Inhomogeneous lattice paths, generalized Kostka polynomials and A_{n-1} supernomials, math.QA/9802111, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999) 359–401.

BF: LANDAU INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, CHERNOGOLOVKA, 142432, RUSSIA

E-mail address: feigin@feigin.mccme.ru

MJ: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: jimbomic@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

SL: INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS, B. CHEREMUSHKINSKAYA, 25, MOSCOW 117259, RUSSIA

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, B. VLASIEVSKY PER, 11, MOSCOW 121002, RUSSIA

E-mail address: loktev@mccme.ru

TM: DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: tetsuji@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp